Joseph Pham 1 FILED 2855 Senter Rd., Space 102 2 AUG 0 7 2012 San Jose, CA 95111 3 RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (408) 644-6939 4 Plaintiff, In Pro Se. 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 Joseph Pham, pro se CASE NO .: 11 CV12-04140 12 Plaintiff, 13 FCRA AND FDCPA COMPLAINT. ٧. 14 15 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL. 16 NCB Management Services Inc. 17 Defendant. 18 19 20 21 **COMPLAINT** 22 23 1. This is an action for damages brought from violations of the Fair Credit Reporting 24 (FCRA) 15 U.S.C. §1681 et seq and the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act 25 (FDCPA)15 U.S.C. § 1692(e)(2), 1692f, 1692(f)(1) and 15 U.S.C.§2042g(b). 26 **PARTIES** 2. Plaintiff, Joseph Pham, is a natural person and is a resident of the State of 27 California. 28

3. Upon information and belief, Defendant, NCB Management Services Inc. is a debt collector as that term is defined by *Cal. Civ. Code §1788.2(c)*. NCB Management Services Inc. illegally harasses and pulls credit report from various innocent consumers without a permissible purpose.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 4. This is an action for damages and injunctive relief brought by Plaintiff against Defendant for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA)15 U.S.C. § 1692f, Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA) 15 USC § 1692f(1) and 15 U.S.C.§2042g(b).
- 5. Upon belief and information, Plaintiff contends that many of these practices are widespread for the Defendant. Plaintiff intends to propound discovery to Defendant identifying these other individuals who have suffered similar violations.
- 6. Plaintiff contends that the Defendant has violated such laws by repeatedly harassing Plaintiff in attempts to collect an alleged debt which does not belong to Plaintiff.

JURISDICTION

- 7. The jurisdiction of this Court is conferred by 15 U.S.C. §1681p and supplemental jurisdiction exists for the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 8. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391b. Venue in this District is proper in that the Plaintiff resides here, the Defendant transacts business here, and the conduct complained of occurred here.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- On February 3, 2012, Defendant sent Plaintiff a notice to collect a debt from Plaintiff. Plaintiff has no knowledge of Defendant.
- On or about May 21st, 2012, Plaintiff pulled his credit report and discovered that on February 2nd, 2012, Defendant pulled Plaintiff's credit report from TransUnion without permissible purpose. See Exhibit P1.
- 3. On or about June 28th, 2012, Plaintiff sent a Request for Validation of Debt by Certified Mail, allowing Defendant an opportunity to cure, giving Defendant until July 10th, 2012.

- 4. Defendant never lent any money to Plaintiff and Plaintiff believes there is no evidence to the contrary.
- 5. Plaintiff never signed a Note or Contract, exhibiting all the necessary requirements of a contract by operation of law for the debt allegedly owed to the Defendant and Plaintiff believes there is no evidence to the contrary.
- 6. Defendant has failed to disclose origin of any loan or money lent and Plaintiff believes there is no evidence to the contrary.
- 7. Defendant has failed to disclose history or provenance of any loan or money lent and Plaintiff believes there is no evidence to the contrary.
- 8. Defendant has never produced evidence that they loaned United States Dollars as required by Federal Law and United States Treasury Regulations. This failure or omission or even negligent behavior is an illegal act, a felony. Plaintiff never saw any money and is unaware of any contract for loan proceeds that exists between Plaintiff and Defendant and Plaintiff believes there is no evidence to the contrary.

COUNT I

VIOLATION OF FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT (FCRA), 15 U.S.C. §1681

WILLFUL NON-COMPLIANCE BY DEFENDANT

- 9. Plaintiff is a consumer within the meaning of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681a(c).
- 10. NCB Management Services Inc is a furnisher of information within the meaning of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2.
- 11. NCB Management Services Inc willfully violated the FCRA. Defendant's violations include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - (a) NCB Management Services Inc willfully violated 15 U.S.C. §1681b(f) by obtaining Plaintiff's consumer report without a permissible purpose as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1681b.

]
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment for damages in the amount of \$1,000 against the Defendant for actual or statutory damages, and punitive damages, attorney's fees and costs, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n.

COUNT II

VIOLATION OF FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT (FCRA), 15 U.S.C. §1681 NEGLIGENT NON-COMPLIANCE BY DEFENDANT

- 12. Plaintiff is a consumer within the meaning of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681a(c).
- 13. Defendant is a furnisher of information within the meaning of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2.
- 14. Defendant negligently violated the FCRA. Defendant's violations include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - (a) NCB Management Services Inc negligently violated 15 U.S.C. §1681b(f) by obtaining Plaintiff's consumer report without a permissible purpose as defined by15 U.S.C. §1681b.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment for damages in the amount of \$1,000 against

Defendant for actual damages, and attorney's fees and costs, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1681o.

COUNT III

VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT (FDCPA), 15 .S.C. §1692 BY DEFENDANT.

- 15. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates the information in paragraphs 1 through 17.
- 16. Plaintiff is a consumer within the meaning of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3)
- 17. Defendant is a debt collector within the meaning of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).
- 18. Defendant violated the FDCPA. Defendant's violations include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - (a) Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692e(2) by falsifying the character, amount and legal status of the alleged debt.
 - (b) Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692f by conducting an unfair and unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect the alleged debt that does not belong to consumer. Thereby Defendant defrauded the court and Plaintiff.
 - (c) Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692f(1) by the collection of any amount (including any interest, fee, charge, or expense incidental to the principal obligation) unless such amount is expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law.
 - (d) Defendant continued collection activity after receiving notice of dispute, and failed to provide written validation of debt before resuming collection activities, in violation of 15 U.S.C.§2042g(b).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment for damages against the Defendant, for statutory damages, punitive damages, actual damages that would include any adverse ruling in state court, and attorney's fees and costs, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a tri	al by jury of a	Il issues so	triable as a	matter of law.
Respectfully submitted this	day of	2012		

Jus Cour

Joseph Pham

2855 Senter Rd., Space 102

San Jose, CA 95111

(408) 644-6939

		Exhibit P1:		
				* 21.1
IOSEPH AN PHAM Report As Of: 6/15/2012				Experian
			\$	
fere you will find the names of to our report for up to 2 years.	nose who have obtained a i	oppy of your credit report, including	lenders, landfords and emp	oyers. Remember, inquiries rem
NCB MGMT		Experian	Equifax	i ne Veloc
	Business Name Inquiry Date			NOB MGMT 27070910
215-244-4200 1 ALLIED OR TREVOSE, PA 19053	Business Type			Collection betweens
	***************************************		ligene d'e come francolità dina e e a sem-cercare del est se richa, inc. e foi communica e au bina a d'am	